

Contents

Part III Patentability

Chapter 1 Eligibility for Patent and Industrial Applicability (Main Paragraph of Article 29(1) of the Patent Act)

1. Overview	- 1 -
2. Determination on Requirements of Eligibility for Patent	- 1 -
2.1 List of Subject Matters Not Corresponding to Statutory "Inventions" ..	- 2 -
2.1.1 A law of nature as such	- 2 -
2.1.2 Mere discoveries and not creations	- 2 -
2.1.3 Those contrary to a law of nature	- 3 -
2.1.4 Those in which a law of nature is not utilized.....	- 3 -
2.1.5 Those not regarded as technical ideas	- 4 -
2.1.6 Those for which it is clearly impossible to solve the problem to be solved by any means presented in a claim	- 6 -
2.2 Points to consider in examination on an invention utilizing computer software.....	- 6 -
3. Determination on Industrial Applicability Requirements	- 7 -
3.1 List of industrially inapplicable inventions.....	- 8 -
3.1.1 Inventions of methods of surgery, therapy or diagnosis of humans	- 8 -
3.1.2 Commercially inapplicable inventions	- 10 -
3.1.3 Obviously impracticable inventions	- 10 -
3.2 Types of industrially applicable inventions.....	- 10 -
3.2.1 Types of methods not considered to be a "method of surgery, therapy or diagnosis of humans"	- 10 -
3.2.2 Commercially inapplicable inventions	- 13 -
4. Procedure of Examination for Determining Eligibility for Patent and Industrially Applicability.....	- 13 -

Chapter 2 Novelty and Inventive Step (Patent Act Article 29(1) and (2))

Section 1 Novelty

1. Overview.....	- 1 -
2. Determination of Novelty	- 1 -

Section 2 Inventive Step

1. Overview	- 1 -
2. Basic Idea of Determination of Inventive Step	- 1 -
3. Detail of Determination of Inventive Step	- 3 -
3.1 Factor in support of the non-existence of an inventive step	- 4 -
3.1.1 Motivation for applying secondary prior art to primary prior art	- 4 -
3.1.2 Factor in support of the non-existence of an inventive step other than motivation	- 9 -
3.2 Factor in support of the existence of an inventive step	- 11 -
3.2.1 Advantageous effects	- 11 -
3.2.2 Obstructive factor	- 12 -
3.3 Notes for determining an inventive step	- 15 -

Section 3 Procedure of Determining Novelty and Inventive Step

1. Overview	- 1 -
2. Specifying Claimed Invention	- 1 -
2.1 The case where the claims are clear	- 2 -
2.2 The case where the claims appear to be unclear and incomprehensible	- 2 -
2.3 The case where the claims are unclear even if description, drawings and common general knowledge at the time of filing are taken into consideration	- 2 -
3. Specifying Prior Art	- 2 -
3.1 Prior art	- 2 -
3.1.1 Prior art disclosed in a distributed publication (Article 29(1)(iii))	- 3 -
3.1.2 Prior art made publicly available through an electric telecommunication line (Article 29(1)(iii))	- 4 -
3.1.3 Publicly known prior art (Article 29(1)(i))	- 6 -
3.1.4 Publicly worked prior art (Article 29(1)(ii))	- 7 -
3.2 Prior art disclosed as generic concepts or more specific concepts in an evidence	- 7 -
3.3 Points to note	- 8 -
4. Comparison between Claimed Invention and Prior Art	- 8 -
4.1 General methods of comparison	- 8 -
4.1.1 The case where the claim includes alternatives	- 8 -
4.2 Methods for comparing more specific concept of claimed invention with prior art	- 9 -

4.3	Methods for considering the common general knowledge at the time of filing in comparing the prior art and the claimed invention	9 -
5.	Determination on Novelty and Inventive Step, and Procedure of Examination Pertaining to the Determination.....	9 -
5.1	Determination.....	9 -
5.1.1	Claimed elements including alternatives.....	10 -
5.2	Procedure of examination pertaining to determination on novelty.....	10 -
5.3	Procedure of examination pertaining to determination on inventive step	10 -
6.	Various Patent Applications	12 -
 Section 4 Claims Including Specific Expressions		
1.	Overview	1 -
2.	Expression Specifying the Product by Operation, Function, Characteristics or Feature.....	1 -
2.1	Specifying the claimed invention	1 -
2.1.1	Cases where function or characteristics, etc. specific to the product is stated in a claim	2 -
2.2	Determination of novelty or inventive steps	2 -
2.2.1	Cases where function or characteristics, etc. inherent in the product is stated in a claim	3 -
2.2.2	Cases where comparison with prior art is difficult and strict comparison is impossible due to the expression of a function or characteristics, etc.	3 -
3.	Expression Specifying the Product by its Use Application (Limitation of Use)	4 -
3.1	Specifying claimed invention	4 -
3.1.1	Basic ideas in cases where there is limitation of use application ...	4 -
3.1.2	Cases where an invention of a product with limitation of use application should be interpreted as a use invention	5 -
3.1.3	Cases where ideas described in 3.1.1 or 3.1.2 are not applied or generally not applied	7 -
3.2	Determination of novelty.....	8 -
3.2.1	Cases where the product of the invention stated in a claim has limitation of use application and the limitation means the product specifically suitable for its use application.....	8 -

3.2.2	Cases where the product of the invention stated in a claim has limitation of use application, but the use application does not mean the product specifically suitable for its use and the claimed invention does not fall under the use invention of 3.1.2	- 8 -
3.2.3	Cases where the claimed invention falls under the use invention of 3.1.2	- 9 -
4.	Expression Specifying the Invention of Sub-combination by Elements of "Another Sub-combination"	- 9 -
4.1	Specifying the claimed invention	- 9 -
4.1.1	Cases where an element relevant to "another sub-combination" has a role in specifying a structure, function, etc. of the claimed sub-combination invention	- 9 -
4.1.2	Cases where an element relevant to "another sub-combination" specifies only "another sub-combination" and does not specify a structure, function, etc. of the claimed sub-combination invention at all	- 10 -
4.2	Determination of novelty or an inventive step	- 12 -
4.2.1	Cases where an element relevant to "another sub-combination" stated in a claim has a role in specifying a structure, function, etc. of the claimed sub-combination invention	- 12 -
4.2.2	Cases where an element relevant to "another sub-combination" stated in a claim does not at all specify a structure, function, etc. of the claimed sub-combination invention	- 13 -
4.2.3	Cases where it is difficult to compare a claimed invention and a cited prior art, and the examiner is not able to compare them strictly due to an element relevant to "another sub-combination" in a claim	- 14 -
5.	Expression Specifying a Product by a Manufacturing Process	- 14 -
5.1	Specifying the claimed invention	- 14 -
5.2	Determination of novelty or an inventive step	- 15 -
5.2.1	Cases where a product manufactured by a manufacturing process stated in a claim is identical with a product of a cited prior art	- 15 -
5.2.2	Cases where a comparison and a strict contradistinction between a claimed invention and a cited prior art cannot be made because it is extremely difficult to structurally determine what a product itself is.	- 15 -

6. Expression Specifying the Invention by Numerical Limitation	15 -
6.1 Specifying the claimed invention	15 -
6.2 Determination of an inventive step	16 -
7. Selection Invention	16 -
7.1 Specifying the claimed invention	16 -
7.2 Determination of an inventive step	17 -

Section 5 Exceptions to Lack of Novelty of Invention (Patent Act Article 30)

1. Overview	1 -
2. Determination on Application of Provision of Article 30(2)	2 -
2.1 Application requirements	2 -
2.2 Determination timing	2 -
2.3 Determination procedures for the application of the provision of Article 30(2) based on the "proving document"	3 -
2.3.1 The case where the "proving document" which is compliant with the following form has been submitted	3 -
2.3.2 The case where the "proving document" which is not compliant with the form mentioned in 2.3.1 has been submitted	4 -
2.4 Determination procedures after a notice of reasons for refusal is issued without admission of the application of the provision of Article 30(2) ..	4 -
3. Determination on Application of Provision of Article 30(1)	4 -
3.1 Application requirements	5 -
4. Points to Note Regarding Determination on Application of Provision of Article 30(1) and (2)	5 -
4.1 Points to note at the time of issuing a notice of reasons for refusal and a decision of refusal	5 -
4.2 With regard to an invention to which the provision of Article 30(2) is applicable even if the "proving document" has not been submitted in the case where the number of inventions disclosed resulting from an action of the right holder is more than one	5 -
4.3 Points to note in various patent applications	8 -
4.3.1 Patent application with a claim of internal priority	8 -
4.3.2 Patent application with a claim of priority under the Paris Convention	8 -
4.3.3 International patent application under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (in this section, hereinafter, referred to as "international	

patent application")	- 8 -
4.3.4 Divisional application, converted application, patent application based on utility model registration	- 9 -

Chapter 3 Secret Prior Art (Patent Act Article 29bis)

1. Overview	- 1 -
2. Requirements for Article 29bis	- 2 -
3. Interpretation on Requirements for Article 29bis	- 3 -
3.1 Interpretation on whether or not another application fulfills the formal requirements provided in Article 29bis	- 3 -
3.1.1 Inventor of another application is not identical with the inventor of the invention claimed in the application concerned (2.(1)(iii))	- 3 -
3.1.2 Inventor of another application is not identical with the applicant of the application concerned at the time at which application concerned was filed (2.(1)(iv))	- 4 -
3.2 Interpretation on whether or not the invention claimed in the application concerned and the cited invention are identical.	- 5 -
4. Procedures of Examination under Article 29bis	- 5 -
4.1 Finding of Invention claimed in application concerned	- 5 -
4.2 Finding of Cited Invention	- 5 -
4.3 Contradistinction between invention claimed in application concerned and cited invention	- 6 -
4.4 Interpretation on whether or not the invention claimed in the application concerned is unpatentable under the provision of Article 29bis and procedures of an examination pertaining to the interpretation	- 6 -
4.4.1 Interpretation on whether or not the invention claimed in the application concerned is unpatentable under the provision of Article 29bis	- 6 -
4.4.2 Procedures of examination pertaining to interpretation on whether or not the invention claimed in application concerned is unpatentable over the provision of article 29bis.	- 7 -
5. Dealing of Claims, etc. including Certain Expressions	- 7 -
6. Dealing of Various Applications	- 8 -
6.1 Where another application is a divisional application, an application claiming priority, etc.	- 8 -

6.1.1	A divisional application, a converted application, or a patent application based on a utility model registration.....	8 -
6.1.2	Application claiming priority under the Paris Convention (or priority declared as governed by the Paris Convention)	8 -
6.1.3	Application on which a claim of internal priority is based (earlier application) or application claiming internal priority (later application)	8 -
6.1.4	Foreign language written application, international patent application, or international application for utility model registration.....	10 -
6.2	Case where an application is a divisional application, an application claiming priority, etc.	12 -

Chapter 4 Prior Application (Patent Act Article 39)

1.	Overview	1 -
2.	Requirements for Article 39	2 -
3.	Interpretation on Requirements for Article 39	2 -
3.1	Interpretation on whether or not the other application fulfills the formal requirements provided in Article 39	3 -
3.1.1	The other application shall not be one deemed not to exist from the beginning under the provision of Article 39(5) (2.(1)(ii)).....	3 -
3.2	Interpretation on whether or not the claimed invention and the invention claimed in the claim of the other application, etc., are the same.....	3 -
3.2.1	Where the other application is an earlier application.....	4 -
3.2.2	Where the other application is a co-pending	4 -
4.	Procedures of Examination under Article 39	5 -
4.1	Finding of the claimed invention and the prior invention or the co-pending invention	6 -
4.2	Contradistinction between the claimed invention and the prior invention or the co-pending invention	7 -
4.3	Interpretation on whether or not the claimed invention is unpatentable under Article 39	7 -
4.4	Procedures of examination pertaining to whether or not the claimed invention is unpatentable under Article 39.....	8 -
4.4.1	Where another application is an earlier application	8 -

4.4.2	Where another application is a co-pending application filed on the same date.....	- 9 -
4.4.3	Handling after notification of reasons for refusal under Article 39	- 13 -
5.	Dealing of Claims, etc. Including Certain Expressions	- 13 -
6.	Dealing of Various Applications	- 14 -

Chapter 5 Category of Unpatentable Invention (Patent Act Article 32)

1.	Overview	- 1 -
2.	Determination on whether Invention falls under Category of Unpatentable Invention	- 1 -
3.	Procedures of Examination concerning Determination on whether Invention falls under Category of Unpatentable Invention	- 3 -

<Relevant Provisions>