JPO Updates and Initiatives: JPO



- Delivering Value to Global IP Stakeholders -



JPO's Mission, Vision and Values

alues

To achieve a society in which ideas are respected and each individual is encouraged to ignite creativity.



To promote innovation through enhancement of IP rights by cocreating an IP ecosystem in which ideas exploring the future can be

- Conduct business operations with integrity and fairness based on transparency.
- Act from the users' standpoint.
- Continue to improve without being bound by past practices.
- Continuously strive to learn and incorporate new technologies and knowledge, and act proactively as a professional expert.
- Respectfully bring together diverse personalities to create synergies that will enhance individual abilities.
- Think and deal with issues as a united JPO entity.

Why Invest: **Reasons to Choose Japan**

Reason 1 Reason 2 Reason 3 Foundation of Flexible, Expansive Ideal Environment Trust and Stability Market for Innovation **Total GDP FDI Confidence Index R&D** Ranking **2**nd in Asia **2nd** in the G7 th in the World Foreign Direct Investment Confidence Index 2024 Gross Domestic Product 2023 Global Innovation Index 2023 (World Intellectual Property Organization: WIPO) (World Bank) (Kearney) Reason 4 Reason 5 Highly-educated **Reliably High** Workforce

Ratio of Technicians and Associate Professionals to Total Workforce

st in the World

The Global Talent Competitiveness Index 2023 report (INSEAD, Descartes Institute for the Future, and the Human Capital Leadership Institute)

Standard of Living

Low crime rate

The safest in the G7 One of the safest in the World

Rates from the Crime Index by Country 2024 (NUMBEO, 2024 Mid-Year)

Information in this slide is based on Why Invest: Reasons to Choose Japan on JETRO website.

Please refer to "https://www.jetro.go.jp/en/invest/investment_environment/" for further information.

Starting Business with/without an IP Strategy



For more information

Functions of Intellectual Property Rights



JPO Updates and Initiatives: JPO



- Delivering Value to Global IP Stakeholders -



WIPO Global Innovation Index

Overall Ranking 2024 (Score)



Japan's rankings in the seven areas of the Global Innovation Index

Business sophistication	6th
Market sophistication	8th
Knowledge & technology outputs	12th
Infrastructure	13th
Global Innovation Index 2024	13th
Human capital & research	19th
Creative outputs	22nd
Institutions	23rd
	Market sophistication Knowledge & technology outputs Infrastructure Global Innovation Index 2024 Human capital & research Creative outputs

(Report on Japan) https://www.wipo.int/gii-ranking/en/japan



Global Innovation

Index 2024

WIPO Global Innovation Index

Japan ranks high in the global science and technology (S&T) cluster, showcasing its active research and international appeal as a technology hub.

Scienc	e and Technology Cluster Ranking 2024			
Rank	Cluster	Economy		
1	Tokyo–Yokohama	Japan		
7	Osaka–Kobe–Kyoto	Japan 📃		
	Global nnovation ndex 2024	134,769 PCT applications 117,294 scientific articles		
		38,478 PCT applications		
	UIPO	52,800 scientific articles		
As numbers of publications over the most recent five-year period available (PCT applications: 2019-2023) (scientific articles: 2018-2022)				

(Overall report) <u>https://www.wipo.int/web/global-innovation-index/2024/science-technology-clusters</u> (Detailed methodology) <u>https://www.wipo.int/documents/d/global-innovation-index/docs-en-2024-gii-2024-cluster-methodology.pdf</u>

For more information

Well-developed IP system in Japan

Highly Rated in U.S. Chamber International IP Index 2024

	Overall	Patents	Design Rights	Trademarks	Enforcement
Score	91.26	94.44	100.00	87.50	88.14
Ranking	6/55	2/55	1/55	5/55	7/55

U.S. Chamber of Commerce Global Innovation Policy Center

International IP Index 2024 Twelfth Edition

- 6th in overall score, following the U.S., UK, France, Germany, and Sweden
- 2nd in patents score, following Singapore
- Each country's IP framework evaluated in 9 aspects
 (1) patents, (2) copyrights, (3) trademarks, (4) design rights,
 - (5) trade secrets, (6) commercialization of IP assets, (7) enforcement,
 - (8) systemic efficiency, (9) membership and ratification of international treaties



Well-developed IP system in Japan

Strengths of Japan according to the Index

U.S. Chamber of Commerce Global Innovation Policy Center

International IP Index
2024 Twelfth Edition

- Design Act amendments came into effect in 2020, increasing term of protection
- Global leader with respect to targeted administrative incentives for the creation and use of IP assets for SMEs
- Strong, sophisticated national IP environment in place with relevant IP rights and protection available for all major IP rights categories
- Has signed and acceded to major international IP treaties



Strengths of JPO



9.4 months

First Action Pendency

FY 2023

13.8 months

Total Pendency

FY 2023

Quality

97.4%

Users' Satisfaction (as neutral or higher)

FY 2024 Survey

Around **2,100**

Interview Practice

FY 2023



44 IP Offices

PPH partners

Dec 2024

Over **110,000**

PPH based on JPO's Examination results

end of December 2024

🗿 Speed: 3 Tracks to Choose



IP5 Statistics Report 2023, Chapter 4

Accelerated Examination



No special requirements. Just make a request !

For more information https://www.jpo.go.jp/e/system/patent/shinsa/jp-soki/

Outline of Accelerated Examination and Accelerated Appeal Examination



Super Accelerated Examination



For more information

https://www.jpo.go.jp/e/system/patent/shinsa/jp-super_soki/index.html



Quality: High Evaluation by JPO Survey



Number of subjects surveyed (patent)

- Domestic applicants: 530
- Foreign applicants: 68
- •Patent attorneys: 50

Percentage of neutral or higher in overall quality of examination on national applications,

Results of User Satisfaction Survey(FY 2024)

- <u>Report on FY2024 Annual User Satisfaction Surveys on Patent Examination Quality</u>
- <u>Report on FY2024 Annual User Satisfaction Surveys on Design Examination Quality</u>
- <u>Report on FY2024 Annual User Satisfaction Surveys on Trademark Examination Quality</u>

Towards the World's Highest Quality Examination



(Quality Management on Patent Examination) <u>https://www.jpo.go.jp/e/introduction/hinshitu/shinsa/tokkyo/</u>



For more information Quality Management on Patent Examination

How to submit your opinions on JPO's Examination Quality

*Personal information will only be used for inquiries as to the cases on which you have presented, and will not be used for any other purpose.

Opinion Submission Form for Examination Quality Improvement				
Title: [mandatory]	Opinion for Examination Quality Improvement			
Your Name: [mandatory]				
E-mail Address: [mandatory]				
E-mail Address (Please enter your e-mail address again for confirmation.) : [mandatory]				
Telephone Number:				
Category:				
Application Number:	Application Numb			
Feedback: Your opinions on individual cases will normally be fed back to managers/directors and examiners in charge in examination divisions for further quality improvement. If you do not wish the feedback, please check the box(es) on the right.	No feedback to the manager/director in charge in the examination division No feedback to the examiner in charge			
Details: [mandatory]	Details of Opinion			
Relation to the application:	Applicant (including an employee such as an inventor and a member of IP Department) Representative Others			
Attachment(s):	ファイルの選択ファイルが選択されていません			

JPO is accepting your opinions via Internet in order to improve JPO's examination quality.

ATTENTION

When applicants would like to submit their opinions to obtain rights for their pending applications or appeal against examination results, <u>they are required</u> to submit a legally prescribed written opinion or file a request for an appeal against an examiner's decision of refusal, NOT VIA THIS FORM.

English

https://www.jpo.go.jp/e/introduction/hinsh itu/hinshitsu-iken.html

Al utilization to Improve Quality and Efficiency

In-house development of patent examination support system using AI



https://www.jpo.go.jp/e/system/laws/sesaku/ai action plan/index.html



Design: Main Values (Speed, Quality)





Full substantive examination based on worldwide prior design search

For more information

Design: Contributing to innovation and branding

Revision of the Design Act (1)

Expansion of the Scope of Protection



Number of Applications for the New Scope of Protection



Design: Contributing to innovation and branding (cont'd)

Revision of the Design Act (2)

Enhancement of the Related Design System



Revision of the Design Act in Japan

https://www.jpo.go.jp/e/resources/report/sonota-info/document/pamphlet/isho_kaisei_en.pdf

Design: Examples of Counterfeit Goods Seized by Customs

Fan

Design Registration No.1371412





Design Registration No.1585727



De Contraction

Battery

Design Registration No.1483996





Design: Import Seizures at Customs (Number of Cases)



Trademark: The outline of Trademark system of Japan



First-to-file principle

The applicant who filed the application first will be entitled to register a trademark, not "first to use".



Substantial Examination

Examiners conduct examination of absolute and relative grounds for refusal.



Registration principle

An exclusive right to a trademark is granted after the mark is registered.

The statement of use is not required as a general rule.

Trademark: Examination on Relative Grounds for Refusal

What is a Similarity Group Code?

Similarity group codes presume similarity of G/Ss and are used as keys for searching trademarks.

They ensure consistency in determining similarity of G/Ss in examination and predictability for applicants.



Trademark: Non-Traditional Trademarks



Trademark: Speed of Examinations

- The first action of TM application is around 5~7 months.
- JPO conducts Accelerated Examinations for applicants who need to acquire rights on an expedited basis.



*Note: Total pendency excluding International Applications For Trademark Registration.

Trademark: Enforcement



JPO Updates and Initiatives: JPO



- Delivering Value to Global IP Stakeholders -



Interview with Patent Examiner

Interview cases in FY 2023

When?

At any stage of examination.

How to request

Ask your agent in Japan to contact the examiner.

The examiner accepts a

request at least once

Around

2,100

Requirements

Participation of your agent in Japan (Online participation is acceptable).

An interpreter may be required in case of complicated technology.

Online Interview

Online interview is conducted via Internet.

There are no restrictions on the location of the participants.





No additional fee



30

User 31

Multi-Factor Reasoning(MFR)

The JPO named method for determining inventive step as "Multi-Factor Reasoning (MFR)"

Factors in support

- Reasoning by comprehensively assessing multi-factors
- Multi-factors include factors in support of the existence as well as the non-existence of an inventive step



Restriction of Multi-Multi Claims (Revision of Ordinance for Enforcement of the Patent Act, Rule 24ter etc.)

- For any patent application and application for utility model registration filed on or after April 1, 2022, Multi-Multi Claim is in violation of the Ministerial Ordinance Requirement on Statement of Claims.
- Multi-Multi Claims and claims citing them are not subject to examination for requirements other than the Ministerial Ordinance Requirement on Statement of Claims (novelty, inventive step, description requirements, etc.).

Case example of Multi-Multi Claim " 🛧 "

- Claim 1 A composition comprising A.
- Claim 2 A composition according to claim 1, further comprising B.

Claim 3 A composition according to any of claims 1 and 2, further comprising C. (multiple dependent claim) Claim 4 *** A composition according to any of claims 1, 2 and 3, further comprising D. (Multi-Multi Claim)**

Please refer to the following website for more information on the restriction of Multi-Multi Claims.

https://www.jpo.go.jp/e/system/patent/shinsa/multimulticlaims.html

User

Collective Examination for IP Portfolio (CEIP)

What?

Package Examination for an entire product/service.

Cross-sectional examination of multiple (types of) IP applications.

Grant rights at the best timing.

How to request

Some documents are required in the request.

> Contact the JPO directly PA2160@jpo.go.jp

Requirements

All of applications are related to a new or international business.

Explanation of business project and relevance of applications in a hearing or interview.



IP portfolio related to the same business project



A team of examiners



To support development of business projects



No additional fee

Making schedule flexibly to meet the desired timing

33

Case of Collective Examination for IP Portfolio (CEIP)

Babies' nappy by Unicharm Corp.

A new shape having gentle curve to fit the baby's body





IP protection was completed before new products were put into the market, to promote business development.

Source: Unicharm Corp. News release (April 2013)

User

35

Case of Collective Examination for IP Portfolio (CEIP)

PATEN1

"the 3rd kitchen" by Kai Corp.



new service

Applicant's voice The concurrent grant of the patents and trademarks helped us to enhance the value of our new business. The protection of all IP rights enabled us to build an effective entry barrier against our competitors.

and meal kits to office workers so that

they can cook in their meeting rooms.

Foreign language application / Correction of mistranslation



36
User

37

Shift to "Unintentional" for Reinstatement of rights

The Criteria for Reinstatement of rights in Japan, corresponding to PLT- Article 12, became from "Due Care" to...

"Unintentional" for Reinstatement of rights,

applies to expiration of period for procedures after April 1, 2023.

PLT Article 12 provides the Office shall reinstate the rights, where an applicants or owner has failed to comply with a time limit and that **failure has the direct consequence of causing a loss of rights**...

- ex. under the Japanese Patent Act
- Request for examination of an application
- Submission of a translation for an national and PCT application filed in a foreign language
- Late payment of a patent fee, etc.
 - & Restoration of Priority right under the Paris Convention

JPO **collects** a sufficient amount of **reinstatement fees** to prevent abuse of the system and to provide incentives for compliance with the procedure period.

 Patents 	¥212,100
Alltility Models	V 01 000

- •Utility Models ¥21,800
- •Designs ¥24,500
- •Trademarks ¥86,400

* RO/JP does not collect any fees for Restoration of Priority Right in the international phase of PCT.

Cf. Relief measures "Reasons beyond one's control"** is still provided for the following procedures/time limits

- Exemption from payment of a patent surcharge
- Submission of a document for the exception to the lack of novelty
- Division of Patent Applications
- Payment of Reinstatement fees, etc.

** cases in which it is impossible to proceed due to objective reasons such as a natural disaster or the serious condition of the person concerned etc..

For more information

38

Guide to Licensing Negotiations involving SEPs

(Published in 2018, revised in 2022)

Purpose of this Guide:

Aiming to

- Enhance transparency and predictability
- Facilitate negotiations between rights holders and implementers
- Help prevent or quickly resolve disputes concerning SEPs
 - ✓ <u>NOT legally binding, NOT prescriptive</u>
 - ✓ <u>Subjected only to FRAND-encumbered SEPs</u>

	ICENSING NEGOTIATIONS INVOLVING
STANDARI	D ESSENTIAL PATENTS
	2nd Edition (July, 2022)
	Japan Patent Office

Available in JP/EN

Main Points Covered in the Guide :

- Licensing Negotiation Methods
 Good Faith, Efficiency
- Royalty Calculation Methods

Reasonable Royalties, Non-Discriminatory



"Hantei" (Advisory Opinion) System

"Hantei" (Advisory Opinion)

- whether a product, a process, etc. falls within the scope of a patented invention, a registered utility model, a registered design, or the effects of a trademark right
- an official opinion from a neutral and fair perspective
- open to the public (except for trade secrets)
- not legally binding

User

40

"Hantei" (Advisory Opinion) System



* "Hantei" system can be used for patents, utility models, designs and trademarks.



Overview of the Revision of the INPIT Act

[Act on the Industrial Property Rights Information and Training Institute, an Independent Administrative Agency]

As a core organization for supporting the management of intellectual property for SMEs and startups, INPIT has been providing information, training, and consultation services. To further realize one-stop intellectual property support, the INPIT Act was revised to strengthen its functions.

Specifically, advisory and assistance services for SMEs was newly added to the scope of INPIT's operations, and these advisory and assistance services were clearly stated in INPIT's purpose provision, positioning them as the major operations of INPIT in the future.



Design & Trademarks: Addressing imported counterfeit products for private use

The act of overseas businesses sending counterfeit products to Japan via means such as postal mail is treated as an infringement of design and trademark rights when those products enter the territory of Japan.



Schedule of fees

Fees related to IP rights are as follows.



User

43

Fee Reduction and Exemption

- Providing Fee Reduction and Exemption system of examination request fees, and patent fees for SMEs, etc., (The details are in the table below.), with the aim of encouraging invention by people of limited means who have high IP potential.
- The reduction rate is set taking into account the applicant's financial resources, R&D capacity, and contribution to new industry creation.

Eligible Applicants	Examination fees / Patent fees for year 1 to 10
Small & Medium-Sized Enterprises (SMEs) *1	Reduced to 1/2
Micro Enterprises, Start-up Enterprises *2	Reduced to 1/3
Universities, Research and Development Incorporated Administrate Agencies, etc.	Reduced to 1/2
SMEs approved based on the Act on Special Measures for the Reconstruction and Revitalization of Fukushima	Reduced to 1/4
Municipal tax-exempt individuals	Exempt / Reduced to 1/2 **3
Income tax-exempt individuals,Corporation tax-exempt SMEs	Reduced to 1/2
The measures for companies also apply to sole proprietorships. ※1 SMEs include R&D-oriented SMEs.	

- *2 Corporations that have been established for less than 10 years and have a capital of 300 million yen or less, and sole proprietorships within 10 years after business start.
- *3 Exempt : Examination fees / Patent fees for the 1st-3rd years Reduced to 1/2 : Patent fees for the 4th-10th years

For more information

User

JPO holds opinion exchange meetings with users

We have been conducting opinion exchange meetings at the practitioner level with individual companies and industry groups.

Objectives:

- To be an "open" office for users
- To improve the JPO's services through a deeper understanding of the user's demands on the JPO
- To establish a win-win relationship with users



If you are interested, please get in touch here ! >>



User

JPO Website & Official page on social media



Official page on social media

Follow us to keep up with the latest IP information!!



https://x.com/JPO_JPN/



https://www.linkedin.com/company/japan-patent-office/

The JPO Quick Reads

https://www.jpo.go.jp/e/news/quickreads/index.html



https://www.jpo.go.jp/e/news/quickreads/index.html

The JPO Key Features





https://www.jpo.go.jp/e/news/keyfeatures/index.html

User

46

JPO Updates and Initiatives: JPO



- Delivering Value to Global IP Stakeholders -



AI Examination Support Team



 On October 1, 2023, JPO increased the number of experts on AI examination from 13 to 39, and assigned at least one expert on AI examination to all examination offices. https://www.meti.go.jp/english/press/2023/0921_001.html

 On April 1, 2024, JPO established a new position for external experts called AI advisors who provide support (e.g., technological training and responses to inquiries) to patent examiners, including experts on AI examination, in accessing expert knowledge on AI-related technology.

https://www.meti.go.jp/english/press/2024/0328_002.html

Examination Case Examples on AI/IoT related technologies

Patent Examination Case Examples on AI and IoT-related inventions

The first publication in the world



Clear understanding of examination practices

Patent eligibility, Inventive step, Description requirements...



 Patent Examination Case Examples pertinent to Al-related technologies

https://www.jpo.go.jp/e/system/laws/rule/guideline/patent/ai_jirei_e.html

Examination Guidelines
 pertinent to IoT-related technologies

 https://www.jpo.go.jp/e/system/laws/rule/guideline/patent/iot_shinsa.html

*These case examples are hypothetical.

Manga Patent Examination Guidelines – Al/IoT fields –

"Manga" English version







Green Transformation Technologies Inventory (GXTI)

◆ The GXTI gives a bird's-eye view of technologies related to Green Transformation (GX).

 The GXTI also provides the patent search formulae for analyzing patent trends that correspond to individual technology categories.

Excellent features of the GXTI



A bird's-eye view of GX technologies through 5 large technology categories and 4 transversal perspectives



Patent search formulae are published in order to enable uniform analyses of GX patent trends



The patent search formulae based on IPCs are applicable to patent document datasets all over the world Companies can analyze the strengths and weaknesses of GXrelated technologies to inform their R&D strategies and ensure accountability to investors, while governments can promote evidence-based GX initiatives.

A link to the J-PlatPat search screen with the GXTI search formula entered is available [Updated: March 18, 2025].





Survey Results Using the GXTI

- The JPO conducted a survey using the GXTI to analyze trends in patent filings based on the category of GXTI.
- The final report was published in May 2023, and is available on the JPO website in Japanese and English.



IPFs (International Patent Family) : The number of IPFs indicates the number of inventions that are filed in more than one country/ region, with the EPO, or with the PCT.



Overview of the Results of Patent Information Analysis Based on GXTI

JPO Updates and Initiatives: JPO



- Delivering Value to Global IP Stakeholders -



Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH)

Examination Cooperation Program between two Offices



https://www.jpo.go.jp/e/system/patent/shinsa/soki/pph/index.html



For more information Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH)

PPH based on PCT international work products including WO and IPER



https://www.jpo.go.jp/e/system/patent/shinsa/soki/pph/index.html



Global Patents with JPO as a starting point



Benefits of PPH for applicants



Source: IP5 PPH metrics 2023 (https://www.jpo.go.jp/e/toppage/pph-portal/ip5-pph.html)

The only office that implements PPH with all ASEAN6 Offices



Total pendency from filing to registration (2023)

тн	MY	PH	VN	SG	ID
9.4	5.7	6.0	5.9	5.1	3.2
years	years	years	years	years	years



Best Quality

Grant rate : more than 90%

Based on JPO's research

https://www.jetro.go.jp/ext_images/world/asia/asean/ip/pdf/ report_202403_asean.pdf

Building IP Portfolio in ASEAN – CPG · PPH Plus –

Obtain patent rights quickly without substantive examination



CPG (Cooperation for facilitating Patent Grant)

with Laos and Cambodia

PPH Plus

with Brunei

Patent granted in Japan **Best Quality** + Applicant's request for CPG or PPH Plus

Granting a patent quickly without substantive examination

[CPG] https://www.jpo.go.jp/e/news/kokusai/kyoryoku/ [PPH Plus] https://www.jpo.go.jp/e/news/kokusai/pph_plus_guideline.html

JPO as ISA for PCT applications

13 Offices where the JPO is a competent ISA and IPEA





For more information <u>JPO Further Increases Its Compe</u>

Benefits of ISA/JP



PCT-PPH

Benefits of ISA/JP



Timeliness in transmitting ISRs to the IB, measured from priority date by ISA, 2023



(WIPO PCT Yearly Review 2024)

https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo-pub-901-2024-en-patent-cooperation-treaty-yearly-review-2024.pdf

Benefit 5

International Search Fee (in the case of filing in English) (2024.11)							
ISA	US	EP	SG	AU	JP	KR	CN
Fee (USD)	2,180	1,989	1,633	1,407	1,173	879	288

Thank you!





APPENDIX

Patentability of Computer Software Inventions in Japan

Japan Patent Act and "Invention"

Art. 2 (1) Statutory "Invention"

"Invention" in this Act means <u>the **highly advanced creation**</u> of <u>technical ideas utilizing the laws of nature</u>.



• Claimed subject matter is **eligible** when it falls under the definition of "Invention" defined in the Patent Act above.

Ineligible subject matter list

A highly advanced creation of technical ideas utilizing the laws of nature.

Utilizing the laws of nature	 Laws of nature as such Not utilizing laws of nature A rule for playing a game as such
	 (i) Any laws other than laws of nature (ii) Mathematical formula (iv) Mental activities of humans (v) Those utilizing only (i) to (iv) < Methods for doing business as such
Technical ideas	 Personal skill Mere presentation of information Image data taken with a digital camera Computer program list
Creation	S Mere discoveries of things in nature
Highly advanced	Not necessary to be considered < Almost the same criteria as other major countries

Examination Guidelines Part III, Chapter 1, 2.

For more information

Two major eligible subject matter examples

Those concretely **performing control** of an **apparatus**, or processing with respect to the control

e.g., rice cooker, washing machine, engine, hard disk drive, chemical reaction apparatus, nucleic acid amplifier

Those concretely **performing information processing** based on the **technical properties** such as physical, chemical, biological or electric properties of an object

e.g., rotation rate of engine, rolling temperature, relation between gene sequence and expression of a trait in a living body, physical or chemical relation of bound substances

Naturally eligible because of their technical character

Examination Guidelines Part III, Chapter 1, 2.



(1)

(11)





When not falling under two major eligible examples…

General software Inventions

Business method, GUI, Statistical analysis, Information recommendation, Data mining, Data classification, Database management, Natural language processing, Information security, Data encryption, and so on.

Examination Handbook Annex B, Chapter 1, 2.1

Eligibility of software invention is determined by whether or not "information processing by the software is concretely realized by using hardware resources"

If an invention meets this requirement, it is determined to be patent eligible.

Boundary between eligible / ineligible software inventions

- Eligible: information processing is concrete

A computer implemented method comprising:

storing (i) **past sales records**, (ii) **future weather forecast data** and (iii) correction rules corresponding to weather;

getting **the first predicted value** by reading **sales records** of the past several weeks, wherein said first predicted value is the average of said read sales records being of the same day of the week as that of the predicted date;

getting weather forecast data of the predicted date, and selecting correction rules to be applied based on said weather forecast data;

determining **the second predicted value** by correcting **the first predicted value** based on said correction rules to be applied; and outputting **the second predicted value**.

Ineligible: information processing is not concrete, mere desire or result to be achieved

A computer implemented method comprising; predicting sales of the predicted date based on the past sales records and weather forecast data; and outputting the predicted sales.

