

Note: When any ambiguity of interpretation is found in this provisional translation, the Japanese text shall prevail.

Part II: REQUIREMENTS FOR PATENTABILITY

Chapter 1 Industrially Applicable Inventions

1. Statutory Inventions	1
1.1 List of Non-statutory Inventions	1
2. Industrial Applicability.....	4
2.1 List of Industrially Inapplicable Inventions	4
2.1.1 Methods of surgery, therapy or diagnosis of humans.....	4
2.1.1.1 Types of methods considered to be classified as “methods of surgery, therapy or diagnosis of humans”	4
2.1.1.2 Types of methods not considered to be classified as “methods of surgery, therapy or diagnosis of humans”	5
2.1.1.3 Methods for treating samples that have been extracted from the human body.....	6
2.1.2 Commercially inapplicable inventions	7
2.1.3 Practically inapplicable inventions	7
3. Notes for examining the requirement for Industrial Applicability.....	8
4. Examples	9
4.1 Statutory invention requirement.....	13
4.1.1 Methods contrary to a law of nature.....	13
4.1.2 Methods not utilizing a law of nature.....	14
4.2 The requirement of industrial applicability	23
4.2.1 Methods of surgery of humans	23
4.2.2 Methods of therapy of humans	35
4.2.3 Methods for gathering data.....	53
4.2.4 Methods for treating samples that have been extracted from the human body	59
4.2.5 Methods relating to assisting devices	70
[Reference] The Applicable Term of These Guidelines for Industrially Applicable Inventions.....	73

Chapter 2 Novelty and Inventive Step

1. Novelty.....	1
1.1 Purport of the Provision of Patent Act Article 29(1).....	1
1.2 Patent Act Article 29(1)(i)–(iii)	1
1.2.1 Prior to the Filing of the Patent Application	1
1.2.2 Inventions that were Publicly Known	2
1.2.3 Inventions that were Publicly Worked	2
1.2.4 Invention Described in a Distributed Publication.....	2
1.3 Inventions Subject to Analysis of Novelty	4
1.4 Basic Idea of Analysis of Novelty.....	4
1.5 Approaches for Determining Novelty	4
1.5.1 Identifying Claimed Inventions	4
1.5.2 Examples of Processes of Identifying the Claimed Invention Written in Specific Expressions	6
1.5.3 Identifying Inventions Cited as Inventions Provided in Patent Act Article 29(1)(i) – (iii) or Cited inventions	10
1.5.4 Comparing the Claimed Inventions and Cited Inventions	12

1.5.5 Determining the Novelty of the Claimed Inventions	13
1.6 Notice of Reasons for Refusal under the provision of Patent Act Article 29(1)	15
2. Inventive Step (Nonobviousness).....	16
2.1 Purpose of the Provision of Patent Act Article 29(2)	16
2.2 Article 29(2)	16
2.3 Inventions Subject to Analysis of Inventive Step.....	16
2.4 Basic Idea of Analysis of Inventive Step	17
2.5 Examples of Reasoning	17
2.6 Approach to Claims Defining Products by the Functions or Characteristics	24
2.7 Approach to Claims Defining Products by Manufacturing Processes	25
2.8 Notes for Determining Inventive Step of Claimed Inventions.....	26
2.9 Notice of Reasons for Refusal under the provision of Patent Act Article 29(2)	28
3. Examples of Approaches for Determining the Novelty	28
3.1 Reasonable Doubts for Determining the Novelty of the Claimed Invention that the Claimed Invention and Cited invention Are Prima Facie Identical	28
3.2 Notice of Reasons for Refusal Based on Reasonable Doubts that the Claimed Invention and Cited Invention Are Prima Facie Identical.....	29
3.3 Notices of Reasons for Refusal Based on Written Materials, Such as Certificates of Experimental Results, Submitted through Offer of Information.....	30

Chapter 3 Patent Act Article 29bis

1. Purpose of Article 29bis	1
2. Article 29bis.....	1
2.1 Invention according to a patent application	1
2.2 “Another application for a patent or for a registration of a utility model that has been filed prior to the date of filing of the said patent application and published after the filing of the said patent application in the gazette containing the patent, the publication of the application or the utility model bulletin”	1
2.3 Invention or device stated in the originally attached description, etc. of another application	2
2.4 The claimed invention is identical to an invention or a device disclosed in the originally attached description, etc. of another application.....	3
2.5 A person who made the invention or device is identical to an inventor of an invention claimed in the patent application	3
2.6 As of the filing date, the same person who filed the patent application has also filed another patent application or a utility model application	3
3. Method of determining whether the claimed invention and an invention or a device stated in the originally attached description, etc. of another application are identical	4
3.1 Identifying of the claimed invention	4
3.2 Identifying of an invention or a device stated in the originally attached description, etc. of another application	4
3.3 Comparison of a claimed invention and a cited invention.....	5
3.4 Determination if a claimed invention is identical to a cited invention	6
4. Notice of reasons for refusal pursuant to Article 29bis	8

Chapter 4 Patent Act Article 39

1. Purpose of the provision of Article 39	1
2. Each item of Article 39	2
2.1 Article 39(1)	2
2.1.1 The subject of determination of Article 39	2
2.1.2 Patent Applications filed on different dates, Earlier Patent Application	2
2.2 Article 39(2)	2
2.2.1 One applicant determined through consultation of applicants	3
2.2.2 When consultation is not established or consultation cannot be held	3
2.3 Article 39(3)	3
2.3.1 An invention according to a patent application and a device according to a utility model application are the same	3
2.4 Article 39(4)	3
2.4.1 If consultation is not established or cannot be held	3
2.5 Article 39(5)	4
2.5.1 Where a patent application or a utility model application is abandoned, withdrawn or dismissed or a decision or a trial decision of refusal of the patent application has become final and binding	4
2.6 Article 39(6) (prior to the revision by the Act of 2011)	4
2.6.1A person who is not an inventor or a designer and has not succeeded a right to obtain a patent or a right to obtain a utility model registration	4
2.7 Article 39(6)	4
2.7.1 Consultation	5
3. Method of determining whether the claimed inventions are identical or not	6
3.1 Finding of a claimed invention	6
3.2 Comparison of the claimed inventions	6
3.3 Way of determining whether the claimed inventions are identical or not when filing dates are different	6
3.4 Method of determining whether inventions of respective claims of two applications filed on the same date are identical or not	7
3.5 Handling of claims including specification of a product using function, feature, etc.	8
3.6 Handling of a claim including specification of a product obtained by a production method	9
4. How to proceed with examination when there is a reason for refusal pursuant to Article 39	10
4.1 How to proceed with a later application when an earlier application for the same invention is present	10
4.1.1 When applicants are different	10
4.1.2 When applicants are identical	10
4.2 How to proceed with examination of applications for the same invention filed on the same date	11
4.2.1 When applicants are different	11
4.2.2 When applicants are identical	11
5. Remarks	11
5.1 When new matters are included	11

5.2 When an application is converted.....	12
6. Notice of reasons for refusal pursuant to Article 39.....	12

Chapter 5 Treatment of Information Disclosed on the Internet as Prior Art

1. Information Made Available to the Public through Electric Telecommunication Lines that can be Cited as Prior Art.....	1
1.1 Cited Electronic Technical Information was Published As It Is before Filing of the Application.....	2
1.2 Cited Electronic Technical Information was Available to the Public before Filing of the Application.....	3
2. Method of Citation.....	4
3. Provision of Information	5
4. Counterargument of Applicant.....	5
5. Treatment of Unpublished Applications	6