

PPH	Requirements							Documents to be submitted										Other notes							
	(a) Priority requirement	(b) OLE claims	(c) PPH request available period		(d) Request for Examination	(e) Publication requirement	(f) Others	(A) Copy of OEE office actions			(B) Copy of patentable/allowable claims		(C) Claims correspondence table	(D) Documents related to cited references				(E) Others	Number of opportunity given to the applicant to correct certain specified defect		When all requirements for accelerated examination under the PPH program are met, the applicant will be notified				
	PPH MOTTAINAI	All claims on file, as originally filed or as amended, for examination under the PPH must sufficiently correspond to one or more of those claims indicated as patentable/allowable in the OEE	The OLE has not begun examination of the application.	The OLE has not issued final decision	A "Request for Examination" must have been filed at the OLE either at the time of the PPH request or previously	OLE application must have been published	Other requirements	All OEE OAs	Only an OEE OA issued just prior to the "Decision to Grant a Patent"	Submission of the OA can be omitted (in case OAs are provided via the OEE'S DAS)	Machine translation is accepted	A copy of all claims determined to be patentable/ allowable by the OEE		Submission of a claims correspondence table is required		Copies of documents cited by the OEE examiner		Translations of documents are NOT required		Other required documents		no limitation on the number of opportunity	only one time		
											Submission of the copy of claims can be omitted (in case claims are provided via the OEE'S DAS)	Machine translation is accepted	submission of the patent documents can be omitted	submission of the non-patent literature is required	patent document	non-patent literature									
APO (Austria)	✓	✓		✓				✓		✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
CIPO (Canada)	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓		✓		✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2		✓*5					✓			
DKPTO (Denmark)	✓	✓		✓					✓	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	There is no requirement to provide translations of non-English language patent documents or non-patent literature if the translations are available				✓				
DPMA (Germany)	✓	✓	✓		✓			✓		✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓*2	✓*2				✓*6			
EPA (Estonia)	✓	✓		✓				✓		✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓*2	✓*2				✓		✓	
HIPO (Hungary)	✓	✓		✓	✓		The national fee of the substantive examination must have been paid	✓		✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓*2	✓*2				✓*7			
ILPO (Israel)	✓	✓	✓							✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓					✓	✓	
INAPI (Chile)	✓	✓	✓			✓		✓		✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓					✓	✓	
INDECOPI (Peru)	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓		✓						✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓					✓	✓	
INPI (Portugal)	✓	✓	✓					✓		✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓				✓		✓	
IP Australia (Australia)	✓	✓	✓		✓			✓		✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓				✓			
IPONZ (New Zealand)	✓	✓	✓		✓			✓		✓				✓	✓*2							✓		✓	
IPOS (Singapore)	✓	✓	✓		✓			✓		✓				✓								✓			
ISIPO (Iceland)	✓	✓		✓					✓	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓*2	✓*2				✓		✓	
JPO (Japan)	✓	✓	✓		✓			✓		✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓				✓			
KIPO (South Korea)	✓	✓		✓	✓			✓		✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓				✓*7			
NIPO (Norway)	✓	✓	✓					✓		✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓				✓			
PPO (Poland)	✓	✓	✓			✓			✓	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓				✓		✓	
PRH (Finland)	✓	✓		✓					✓	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓*2	✓*2				✓			
PRV (Sweden)	✓	✓		✓			The latest OA issued only indicating allowable claim(s)			✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓				✓		✓	
ROSPATENT (Russia)	✓	✓	✓		✓			✓		✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓*2	✓*2					✓	✓	
SIC (Colombia)	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓		✓		✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓	Only if the documents are in English or Spanish.					✓		
SPTO (Spain)	✓	✓	✓		✓			✓		✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓					✓		
UKIPO (UK)	✓	✓	✓		✓			✓		✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	There is no requirement to provide translations of non-English language citations as part of the PPH request but the examiner may subsequently request translations of the citations using standard UK examining procedures if necessary.				✓			✓	
USPTO (USA)	✓	✓	✓				✓*8		✓	✓	✓*1	Do not require the submission.		✓	✓*4	✓	✓	✓			An information disclosure statement (IDS) listing the documents cited in the office action		✓	✓	

Last updated 1 January, 2021

<sup>1</sup> If it is impossible for the examiner to understand the outline of the translated office action or claims due to insufficient translation, the examiner can request the applicant to resubmit translations.

<sup>2</sup> In case the OLE has difficulty in obtaining the documents, however, the applicant may be asked to submit them.

<sup>3</sup> In order for the patent application to be substantially examined, the applicant must relate to section 18 of the law which concerns relevant prior art which is known to the applicant.

<sup>4</sup> If the documents are U.S. Patents or U.S. patent application publication submission of the patent documents can be omitted.

<sup>5</sup> The applicants are not required to file translations of cited documents for compliance with the PPH, however if a translation is necessary the CIPO examiner may request translations during the examination process in accordance with standard CIPO examination procedure.

<sup>6</sup> In those circumstances where the request does not meet all the requirements, the applicant may correct deficiencies and resubmit the PPH request.

Before the issuing of the notification of not approving accelerated examination under the GPPH pilot programme, the applicant may submit missing documents.

Even after the issuing of the notification of not approving accelerated examination under the GPPH pilot programme, the applicant may file another PPH request so long as the request is filed before the issuing of the first office action.

<sup>7</sup> No further opportunities will be given to the applicant to correct the same defect in future corrections.

<sup>8</sup> Any claims amended or added after the grant of the request for the PPH must sufficiently correspond to one or more allowable OEE claims

PCT-PPH	Requirements						Documents to be submitted										Other notes		
	(a) Requirement when observation is noted in Box VIII	(b) OLE claims	(c) PPH request available period		(d) Request for Examination	(e) Publication requirement	(f) Others	(A) Copy of latest international work product		(B) Copy of patentable/allowable claims		(C) Claims correspondence table	(D) Documents related to cited references				(E) Others	Number of opportunity given to the applicant to correct certain specified defect	
	In case any observation is described in BOX VIII of WO/ISA, WO/IPEA or IPER which forms the basis of the PCT-PPH request, the applicant must explain which claim(s) is/are patentable/allowable	All claims on file, as originally filed or as amended, for examination under the PCT-PPH must sufficiently correspond to one or more of those claims indicated as patentable/allowable in the OEE as ISA/IPEA	The OLE has not begun examination of the application	The OLE has not issued final decision	A "Request for Examination" must have been filed at the OLE either at the time of the PPH request or previously	OLE application must have been published	Other requirements	Submission of the international work product can be omitted (in case those are provided via the "PATENTSCOPE")	Machine translation is accepted	Submission of the copy of claims can be omitted (in case claims are provided via the "PATENTSCOPE")	Machine translation is accepted	Submission of a claims correspondence table is required	Copies of documents cited in the latest international work product		Translations of documents are NOT required		Other required documents	no limitation on the number of opportunity	only one time
APO (Austria)	✓	✓		✓			✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓*2	✓*2		✓		
CIPO (Canada)	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2		✓*5			✓		
DKPTO (Denmark)	✓	✓		✓			✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
DPMA (Germany)	✓	✓	✓		✓		✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓*2	✓*2			✓*6	
EPA (Estonia)	✓	✓		✓			✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓*2	✓*2		✓		✓
HIPO (Hungary)	✓	✓		✓	✓	The national fee of the substantive examination must have been paid	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓*2	✓*2		✓*7		
ILPO (Israel)	✓	✓	✓			✓*3	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓
INAPI (Chile)	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓
INDECOPI (Peru)	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓		✓		✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓
INPI (Portugal)	✓	✓	✓				✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓
IP Australia (Australia)		✓	✓		✓		✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓		✓		
IPONZ (New Zealand)		✓	✓		✓						✓	✓*2					✓		✓
IPOS (Singapore)		✓	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓						✓		
ISIPO (Iceland)	✓	✓		✓			✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓*2	✓*2		✓		✓
JPO (Japan)	✓	✓	✓		✓		✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓		✓		
KIPO (South Korea)	✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓*7		
NIPO (Norway)	✓	✓	✓				✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓		✓		
PPO (Poland)	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓
PRH (Finland)	✓	✓		✓			✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓*2	✓*2		✓		
PRV (Sweden)	✓	✓		✓			✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓
ROSPATENT (Russia)	✓	✓	✓		✓		✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓
SIC (Colombia)	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓	Only if the documents are in English or Spanish.		✓	
SPTO (Spain)	✓	✓	✓		✓		✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	✓	✓		✓		
UKIPO (UK)	✓	✓	✓		✓		✓	✓*1	✓	✓*1	✓	✓*2	✓	There is no requirement to provide translations of non-English citations as part of the PPH request but the examiner may subsequently request translations of the citations using standard UK examining procedures if necessary.			✓		✓
USPTO (USA)	✓	✓	✓			✓*8	✓	✓*1	Do not require the submission.		✓	✓*4	✓	✓	✓	An information disclosure statement (IDS) listing the documents cited in the PCT work product		✓	✓

Last updated 1 January, 2021

\*1 If it is impossible for the examiner to understand the outline of the translated office action or claims due to insufficient translation, the examiner can request the applicant to resubmit translations.

\*2 In case the OLE has difficulty in obtaining the documents, however, the applicant may be asked to submit them.

\*3 In order for the patent application to be substantially examined, the applicant must relate to section 18 of the law which concerns relevant prior art which is known to the applicant.

\*4 If the documents are U.S. Patents or U.S. patent application publication submission of the patent documents can be omitted.

\*5 The applicants are not required to file translations of cited documents for compliance with the PPH, however if a translation is necessarily the CIPO examiner may request translations during the examination process in accordance with standard CIPO examination procedure.

\*6 In those circumstances where the request does not meet all the requirements, the applicant may correct deficiencies and resubmit the PPH request.

Before the issuing of the notification of not approving accelerated examination under the GPPH pilot programme, the applicant may submit missing documents.

Even after the issuing of the notification of not approving accelerated examination under the GPPH pilot programme, the applicant may file another PPH request so long as the request is filed before the issuing of the first office action.

\*7 No further opportunities will be given to the applicant to correct the same defect in future corrections.

\*8 Any claims amended or added after the grant of the request for the PCT-PPH must sufficiently correspond to one or more allowable PCT application claims